

Problem Set for Lecture 1

The Basic MIU model

Problem 1: Intertemporal budget constraint of the representative household in the decentralized MIU model

Suppose the aggregate production

$$Y_t = F(K_{t-1}, N_t)$$

is of CRTS and assume that households receive their factor income from competitive factor markets which pay labour and capital according to their marginal products. Let w_t denote the wage rate paid in period t and assume perfect substitutability between real bonds and physical capital such that $1 + r_{t-1} = 1 + f_k(k'_{t-1}) - \delta$, with $k'_{t-1} = \frac{k_{t-1}}{1+n}$.

a) Show that the **flow budget constraint** of the household derived in the Lecture Notes, ie

$$f\left(\frac{k_{t-1}}{1+n}\right) + \tau_t + (1 - \delta)\frac{k_{t-1}}{1+n} + (1 + r_{t-1})\frac{b_{t-1}}{1+n} + \frac{1}{1 + \pi_t}\frac{m_{t-1}}{1+n} = c_t + k_t + b_t + m_t$$

can be rewritten as

$$w_t + \tau_t + (1 + r_{t-1})\frac{k_{t-1} + b_{t-1}}{1+n} + \frac{1}{1 + \pi_t}\frac{m_{t-1}}{1+n} = c_t + k_t + b_t + m_t$$

b) Let $a_t \equiv k_t + b_t + m_t$. Show that the transversality condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t \lambda_t x_t = 0 \quad x = k, b, m$$

implies that the flow budget constraint can be transformed into the **intertemporal budget constraint**

$$\frac{1 + r_{-1}}{1 + n} a_{-1} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t (w_t + \tau_t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t \left(c_t + \frac{i_{t-1}}{1 + \pi_t} \frac{m_{t-1}}{1+n} \right),$$

using

$$Q_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t = \prod_{j=0}^{t-1} \frac{1 + n}{1 + r_j} \quad \forall t \geq 1$$

Problem 2: Dynamics in c_t and k_t under additively separable preferences in c_t and m_t

Assuming additively separable preferences in c_t and m_t , the Lecture Notes derive that $\forall t \geq 0$ the dynamics in c_t and k_t are given by the (sub-)system

$$\underbrace{\beta(1 + f_k(k_t) - \delta)}_{1+r_t} \nu_c(c_{t+1}) = \nu_c(c_t)$$

$$c_t + k_t = f(k_{t-1}) + (1 - \delta)k_{t-1}$$

To obtain a two-dimensional system of first-order difference equations, the Lecture Notes use the **transformation**

$$c_t \equiv c_{t-1}^T,$$

and replace the initial system in c_t and k_t by the transformed system in c_t^T and k_t s.t. $\forall t \geq -1$:

$$\underbrace{\beta(1 + f_k(k_{t+1}) - \delta)}_{1+r_{t+1}} \nu_c(c_{t+1}^T) = \nu_c(c_t^T)$$

$$c_t^T + k_{t+1} = f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t$$

Explain why this transformation does not affect the sequence of events, implying that the transformed and the initial system are equivalent.

Problem 3: First-order linear difference equations

Consider the first-order linear difference equation

$$x_{t+1} = (1 + r) \cdot x_t + a \quad \text{with: } r \neq 0, 1 + r > 0 \quad (1)$$

Think of (1) as a law of motion governing a bank account which offers a constant real interest rate $r \neq 0$ on the (beginning of period) balances x_t and which is subject to a constant deposit ($a > 0$) or withdrawal ($a < 0$) per period.

a) General solution

Verify that

$$x_t = c \cdot (1 + r)^t - \frac{a}{r} \quad (2)$$

is a general solution of (1), with unknown coefficient c .

b) Backwardlooking stability

Let $r < 0$ and assume that the initial balance in $t = 0$ is given (predetermined) by $x_0 > 0$. Derive the definite solution of (2).

c) Forwardlooking stability

Let $r > 0$ and assume that in $t = 0$ the starting balance can be flexibly adjusted in order to satisfy the terminal condition $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} x_T = -\frac{a}{r}$. Derive the definite solution of (2).

Problem 4: Dynamics in c and k under additively separable preferences in c and m : solution via a phase diagram

Consider the basic MIU model and assume that preferences are additively separable in c_t and m_t . Consider the dynamic sub-system in c_t^T and k_t s.t. $\forall t \geq -1$

$$\underbrace{\beta(1 + f_k(k_{t+1}) - \delta)}_{1+r_{t+1}} \nu_c(c_{t+1}^T) = \nu_c(c_t^T)$$

$$c_t^T + k_{t+1} = f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t,$$

as derived in the Lecture Notes.

Assume that preferences with respect to consumption are given by the function

$$\nu(c_t) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} \cdot c_t^{1-\Phi} \text{ with: } \Phi > 0, \Phi \neq 1$$

- a) Find an interpretation for the parameter Φ .
- b) Draw a phase diagram in order to characterize the dynamics in c and k in the sub-system of equations.
(\rightarrow Notice that in this particular case you do not need to linearize the consumption Euler equation.)
- c) Interpret the dynamics based on the phase diagram.

Problem 5: 2x2 systems of first-order (linearized) difference equations

Consider the linearized 2x2-system

$$h_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,t+1} \\ h_{2,t+1} \end{bmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,t} \\ h_{2,t} \end{bmatrix} = A \cdot h_t \quad (3)$$

with general solution (assuming $|\lambda_i| \neq 1, i = 1, 2$)

$$h_t = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,t} \\ h_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \bar{q}_1 \cdot \mu_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \lambda_1^t + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ \bar{q}_2 \cdot \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \lambda_2^t, \quad (4)$$

as derived in the Lecture Notes.

a) Backwardlooking stability

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{9} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- i) Illustrate the dynamics of (3) with a phase diagram.
- ii) Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A .
- iii) Assume that the initial values of h_1 and h_2 in $t = 0$ are given (predetermined) by $h_{1,0} = h_{2,0} = 1$. Derive the definite solution of (4).

b) Forwardlooking stability (one-dimensional)

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- i) Illustrate the dynamics of (3) with a phase diagram.
- ii) Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A .
- iii) Impose the terminal condition $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} h_{1,T} = 0$ and assume that the initial value of h_2 in $t = 0$ is given (predetermined) by $h_{2,0} = 1$. Derive the definite solution of (4).

c) Forwardlooking stability (two-dimensional)

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 9 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- i) Illustrate the dynamics of (3) with a phase diagram.
- ii) Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A .
- iii) Impose the pair of terminal conditions $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} h_{1,T} = 0$ and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} h_{2,T} = 0$. Derive the definite solution of (4).

Problem 6: Saddlepath-stability of the $c - k$ -dynamics in the basic MIU model with additively separable preferences in c_t and m_t

The Lecture Notes derive the dynamic sub-system in c_t^T and k_t s.t. $\forall t \geq -1$:

$$\underbrace{\beta(1 + f_k(k_{t+1}) - \delta)}_{1+r_{t+1}} \nu_c(c_{t+1}^T) = \nu_c(c_t^T)$$

$$c_t^T + k_{t+1} = f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t$$

Consider a first-order Taylor expansion of the system around the unique steady state values k^* and c^* such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{t+1}^T - c^* \\ k_{t+1} - k^* \end{bmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} c_t^T - c^* \\ k_t - k^* \end{bmatrix}$$

and show that the linearized dynamics are locally saddlepath-stable, satisfying the pattern $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$.